

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/970.437	10/03/2001	Antoni S. Gozdz	APP 1431-US 4278		
75	590 03/11/2005		EXAMINER		
DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR LOWESTEIN SANDLER PC			LEADER, WILLIAM T		
65 LIVINGST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROSELAND,	NJ 07068-1791	·	1742		
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>j</i> :	n/
	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
A	09/970	0,437	GOZDZ, ANTONI S.	
Office Action Summar	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
	Willian	n T. Leader	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on	the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMON - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within the num statutory period will apply are reply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of thi	o event, however, may a reply statutory minimum of thirty (30 and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communionED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on 27 Decembe	or 2004	4	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i			
3)☐ Since this application is in cond	,		prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the p		-	•	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in	the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is	* *	onsideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to re		n requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to t	ov the Examiner.			7
•	√are: a) accepted or	r b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl		•		121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is object	•	•	•	
,	ou to by the Examinor.	, toto ino attachioa o		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a c a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the pri 2. Certified copies of the pri 3. Copies of the certified c	of: ority documents have t ority documents have t pies of the priority docu	peen received. peen received in Appli uments have been rec	.,,,	ge
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the c	ertified copies not rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	-	. —	mary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi			ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152	١
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	49 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	nai r atent Application (FTO-152)	_
		-,		CB

Application/Control Number: 09/970,437 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt of the papers filed on December 27, 2004, is acknowledged. Claims 1-19 are pending. Claims 8-19 remain withdrawn from consideration.

2. In view of applicant's Remarks, the rejections of record are withdrawn.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. In the papers filed on December 27, 2004, applicant amended claim 1 to recite that the separator member is a paper comprising cellulose. Claim 5 includes a Markush group which recites different types of paper from which the paper of claim 1 is selected. The group includes cellulose. Since cellulose is now recited in claim 1, it appears that claim 5 no longer further limits claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/970,437

Art Unit: 1742

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. Claims 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maletin et al (6,697,249).
- 6. The Maletin et al patent is directed to a supercapacitor which is an electrochemical cell system. As shown in figure 1, the capacitor includes opposed planar electrode members. These electrodes are made of a matrix of polymer and SNC powder (column 7, lines 27-63). The electric double layer capacitor includes a porous, ion-permeable, insulating material separator interposed between electrodes. The separator may be made from a cellulose separator paper (column 12, lines 22-30). Thus, all elements recited in claim 1 are taught by Maletin et al.
- 7. With respect to claims 2 and 3, Maletin et al disclose that a plasticizer may be included. The plasticizer improves the working range of the electrode mixture (column 8, lines 29-36). With respect to claim 5, as noted above, the separator may be made from a cellulose separator paper. With respect to claim 6, the polymer binder may be vinylidene fluoride or tetrafluoroethylene (column 7, lines 50-54).

Application/Control Number: 09/970,437 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maletin et al (6,697,249) in view of Gozdz et al (5,552,239)
- 10. Maletin et al is taken as above. Claim 7 differs from Maletin et al by reciting particular plasticizer compositions while claim 4 differs by reciting that the plasticizer is incorporated in the separator. The Gozdz et al patent is directed to an electrochemical cell system in the form of a rechargeable battery. The cell may include polymer matrix electrodes. Gozdz et al disclose plasticizer materials such as propylene carbonate. Gozdz et al also disclose the addition of plasticizer to the separator. See column 2, line 57 to column 3, line 8.
- 11. The prior art of record is indicative of the level of skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have utilized a plasticizer such as propylene carbonate as the plasticizer composition in Maletin et al because it is effective in this application as shown by Gozdz et al, and to have included plasticizer in the separator of Maletin et al as shown by Gozdz et al because the properties of the separator would have been improved.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,437 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Bailey (5,856,042) and Kronfli et al (6,409,867) disclose cells

with polymer electrode and indicate that paper separators may be used.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to William T. Leader whose telephone number is

571-272-1245. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays and

alternate Fridays, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Leader March 1, 2005 ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700